

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/787,080	02/27/2004	Katsutoshi Misuda	03500.015757.1	4301	
	7590 11/08/2007	EXAMINER			
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112			SHEWAREGED, BETELHEM		
			ART UNIT .	PAPER NUMBER	
			1794	A	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/08/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/787,080	MISUDA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	Betelhem Shewareged	1794	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 22 October 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the same of the sam	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (5)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final reject	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Off	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof $(37 CFR 41.37(e))$ , to	avoid dismissal of the	hs of the date of ne appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	, will <u>not</u> be entered b	ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		TE below);	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		ducina or simplifyina	the issues for
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	tile issues ioi
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a		jected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		P ( A	(DTO) 204)
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
<ul><li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li><li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a</li></ul>		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wivided below or appended.	ill be entered and an	explanation of
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>13-17</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	at before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affidar	lotice of Appeal will <u>n</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation</li> </ol>			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	A dona NOT along the configution i		
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.		n condition for allowa	nce because:
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	(P10/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
10. L. Ottion			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Claim 13 recites ---at least 90% of all particles of the gamma crystal structure have a particle diameter of at MOST 1.0um---. At most 1.0um is broad and includes any value less than 1.0um. Since the particle size of 0.21um-0.3um of Mishima overlaps the claimed particle size value of 0.21-1.0um, at least 90% of the particles of Mishima should be at most 1.0 um.

ETELHEM SHEWAREGED